UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/687,273 | 10/16/2003 | Thomas E. Chefalas | YOR920030434US1 | 8966 |
| 35526 | 7590 01/30/2008 | 1 | EXAM | INER |
| DUKE W. YEE YEE & ASSOCIATES, P.C. | | | DISTEFANO, GREGORY A | |
| P.O. BOX 8023 DALLAS, TX | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 2.122.10, 111 | | | 2176 | |
| | | | | |
| | | · | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| • | | | 01/30/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| s | | | λ |
|--|---|---|--------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 4 |
| | 10/687,273 | CHEFALAS ET AL. | , |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Gregory A. DiStefano | 2176 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON c, cause the application to become AB | CATION. The ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ó 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This 3) □ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-31 are subject to restriction and/or example and the second | wn from consideration. election requirement. er. eepted or b) □ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyan | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | 41 |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | , | | · <i>J</i> · |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | pplication No received in this National Stage | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s | ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application | |

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, 11-20, 22-30, drawn to monitoring the operation of a program to detect and solve errors, classified in class 717, subclass 127.
- II. Claims 10, 21 and 31, drawn to generating a profile of behavior of compiled components, classified in class 717, subclass 130.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reason:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, Invention II has separate utility such as using the generated profile of behavior for grouping the compiled software components. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a

Application/Control Number:

10/687,273

Art Unit: 2176

claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/687,273

Art Unit: 2176

A telephone call was made on 1/22/08 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greg Distefano whose telephone number is 571-270-1644. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Hutton, can be reached at (571) 272-4137. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

G.S. January 28, 2008

/Doug Hutton/
Doug Hutton
Supervisory Primary Examiner
Technology Center 2100